Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,801	JUSTEN, JORDAN L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nitin C. Patel	2116
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Nitin C. Patel.	(3)	
(2) Mr. John F. Conroy [reg.# 45,485].	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: n/a.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 25-30, and 35 -36		
Prior art documents discussed: None	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Dlv 9/27/6		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/719,801

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner initiated an interview with attorney, Mr. Conroy to discuss minor correction to claims 25-30, and 35-36, with examiners amendment for better understanding and expediate prosecution. Attorney is agreed and authorized the examiner to correct as per examiner's amendments...